



Article Classes of Mappings in Metric Spaces

A.M. Ahmeda *1 and Sid Ahmed Ould Ahmed Mahmoud¹

¹ Mathematics Department, College Of Science, Jouf University, Sakaka P.O.Box 2014. Saudi Arabia

* Correspondence: sidahmed@ju.edu.sa

Received: April 1st 2019; Accepted: May 1st 2019; Published: May 30th 2019.

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to present certain basic properties of some class of mappings called (m,∞) -expansive and (m,∞) -contractive mappings acting on a real metric space.

Keywords: m-isometry; expansive map; contractive map; metric space

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The introduction of the concept of m-isometric transformation in Hilbert spaces by Agler and Stankus yielded a flow of papers generalizing this concept both in Hilbert and Banach spaces. For more details see [5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 16] and the references therein. In [19, 20] the second named author has introduced and studied several results on (m; p)-(hyper)expansive and (m; p)-(hyper)contractive maps on a metric space. These concepts extend the definitions of m-isometry on a Hilbert space and also on a Banach space. The results follow the trend of some recent research by T.Berm'udez and others.

As noted in [2] an operator acting on a Hilbert space \mathscr{H} is called *m*-isometries for some integer $m \ge 1$ if

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} T^{*m-k} T^{m-k} = 0$$
(1.1)

or equivalently

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \|T^{m-k}x\|^2 = 0 \qquad \forall x \in X.$$
(1.2)

The equation (1.2) was used to define *m*-isometries on a Banach space by Sid Ahmed [16] and by Botelho [7]. Bayart [5] has replaced the exponent 2 in (1.2) by an $p \in [1,\infty)$ and was introduced the following definition: a bounded linear operator $T : X \to X$, on a Banach spaces X is an (m, p)-isometry $(m \ge 1 \text{ integer}, p \ge 1 \text{ real})$ if

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \| T^{m-k} x \|^p = 0 \qquad \forall x \in X.$$
(1.3)

Hoffman et al. [12] considered the above definition with p > 0 real and studied the role of the second parameter p and also discussed the case $p = \infty$.

Let $T, A \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ where A is positive. T is said to be (A, m)-isometry if T satisfies

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} A T^k = 0$$

Let X and Y be metric spaces. A mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ is called an isometry if it satisfies

$$d_Y(Tx, Ty) = d_X(x, y), \forall x, y \in X,$$

where $d_X(.,.)$ and $d_Y(.,.)$ denote the metrics in the spaces X and Y, respectively.

In [6] it was introduced a notion of (m, p)-isometry for maps on a metric space: a map $T: X \to X$, on a metric space X with distance d, is called an (m, p)-isometry $(m \ge 1,$ integer, p > 0 real) if it satisfies

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} d \left(T^{m-k} x, T^{m-k} y \right)^p = 0, \ \forall \ x, y \in X.$$
(1.4)

The Concept of *completely hyperexpansive* operators on Hilbert space has attracted much attention of various authors (see [4] and [23]). For this, it is important to study *m*-expansive operators. We refer the reader to [9] and [13] for more information about *m*-expansivity. Recently, the concept of (m, p)-expansive,(m, p)-contractive, (m, p)-hyperexpansive and (m, p)-hypercontractive operators on a Banach space has introduced and studied in slightly generalised form in [19, 20] and C.Gu [10]. We quote the definition given in [19, 20]: Fix a Banach space operator A on a Banach space X. For a bounded linear operator T acting on a Banach space X, we denote

$$\Theta_l^{(p)}(A,T,x) := \sum_{0 \le j \le m} (-1)^j \binom{l}{j} \left\| AT^j x \right\|^p, \quad \forall \ x \in X,$$
(1.5)

where $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is a integer, $p \in (0,\infty)$ and $\binom{l}{k}$ denotes the binomial coefficient. The operator *T* is said to be A(m,p)-expansive if $\Theta_m^{(p)}(A,T,x) \leq 0$. When such a relation is valid for $k \in \{1,2,...,m\}$, we say that *T* is A(m,p)-hyperexpansive. Moreover if $\Theta_m^{(p)}(A,T,x) \geq 0$, we say that *T* is A(m,p)-contractive and if *T* is A(k,p)-contractive for all positive integer $k \leq m$, the map *T* is said A(m,p)-hypercontractive. If $\Theta_m^{(p)}(A,T,x) = 0$ for all *x*, the operator *T* is said to be an A(m,p)-isometry (concept introduced and studied by B.P.Dugal in [8]).

Very recently, in paper [19], the second named author introduced and studied a class of mappings acting on a metric space, called (m, p)-expansive and (m, p)-hyperexpansive. Given an map $T: X \to X$ where (X, d) is a metric space, set

$$\Theta_{l}^{(p)}(d,T;x,y) := \sum_{0 \le k \le l} (-1)^{k} \binom{l}{k} d\left(T^{k}x, T^{k}y\right)^{p}, \forall x, y \in X,$$
(1.6)

where $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is a integer, $p \in (0,\infty)$. The defining inequality of (m, p)-expansive (resp.(m, p)-hyperexpansive) mapping is $\Theta_m^{(p)}(d, T; x, y) \leq 0$ (resp. $\Theta_k^{(p)}(d, T; x, y) \leq 0$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$).

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, an operator *T* acting on a Banach space *X* is called an (m, ∞) -isometry (or (m, ∞) -isometric operator) if and only if

$$\max_{\substack{k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m\} \\ k \text{ even}}} \|T^k x\| = \max_{\substack{k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m\} \\ k \text{ odd}}} \|T^k x\|, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(See [12]).

Very recently, in paper [3], the author studied a classes of mappings acting on a metric space, called (m,∞) -isometries. An mapping *T* acting on a metric space (X, d_X) is called an (m,∞) -isometry for some positive integer *m*, if for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d_X(T^k x, T^k y) = \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d_X(T^k x, T^k y).$$

In the present paper, we present some basic properties of (m,∞) -expansive, (m,∞) -hyperexpensive, (m,∞) -contractive and (m,∞) -hypercontractive mappings on a metric spaces.

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{R} denotes the field of real numbers. The natural numbers $\{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ are denoted by \mathbb{N} and the non-negative integers by \mathbb{N}_0 .

2. (m,∞) -expansive and (m,∞) -contractive mappings

In this section, we study (m, ∞) -expansive and (m, ∞) -contractive mappings.

A self mapping T on a metric space (X, d) is (m, p) expansive if and only if

2. (m, ∞) -expansive and (m, ∞) -contractive mappings

In this section, we study (m,∞) -expansive and (m,∞) -contractive mappings.

A self mapping T on a metric space (X, d) is (m, p) expansive if and only if

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le k \le m}} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} d(T^k x, T^k y)^p \le 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\substack{0 \le l \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} \binom{m}{k} d(T^k x, T^k y)^p \le \sum_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} d(T^k x, T^k y)^p, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Similarly a self mapping *T* on a metric space (X, d) is (m, p) contractive if and only if

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le k \le m}} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} d(T^k x, T^k y)^p \ge 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\substack{0 \le l \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} \binom{m}{k} d(T^k x, T^k y)^p \ge \sum_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} \binom{m}{k} d(T^k x, T^k y)^p, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

By taking the limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ we get the following definition.

Definition 2.1. [20, Definition 3.1] Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. An mapping *T* acting on a metric space *X* is called an

(1) (m, ∞) -expansive if for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \le \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d(T^k x, T^k y)$$

- (2) (m,∞) -hyperexpansive if T is (k,∞) -expansive for $k = 1, \dots, m$.
- (3) completely ∞ -hyperexpansive if and only if T is (k,∞) -expansive for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(4) (m, ∞) -contractive if, and only if, for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \ge \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d(T^k x, T^k y).$$

(5) (m,∞) -hypercontractive if, and only if *T* is (k,∞) -contractive for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

(6) completely ∞ -hypercontractive if and only if *T* is (k, ∞) -contractive for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2.1. Observe that every (m,∞) -isometric mapping is an (m,∞) -expansive and an (m,∞) -contractive mapping.

Example 2.1. Let $X = [0,\infty)$ endowed with the standard metric d(x,y) = |x-y| for all $x, y \in X$. Define the mapping $T : X \to X$ by $Tx = x^n + 3x + 7$. A simple calculation shows that

$$d(Tx,Ty) = |Tx - Ty| = |x^n - y^n + 2(x - y)| = |(x - y)\sum_{0 \le k \le n-1} x^{n-1-k}y^k + 2(x - y)|$$

= $|x - y|| (\sum_{0 \le k \le n-1} x^{n-1-k}y^k + 2)|$
 $\ge 2|x - y|$
 $\ge d(x,y).$

Hence, *T* is an $(1,\infty)$ -expansive mapping.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ be equipped with the Euclidean metric d(x,y) = |x-y| for all $x, y \in X$. Define $T : X \to X$ by Tx = 2x. A simple computation shows that *T* is $(2,\infty)$ -contractive and $(3,\infty)$ -expansive.

Proposition 2.1. [20, Proposition 3.1]. Let $T : X \to X$ be a map, the following properties hold

(1) *T* is (m, ∞) -expansive if and only if

$$\max_{\substack{j \le k \le j+m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \le \max_{\substack{j \le k \le j+m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d(T^k x, T^k y), \ \forall \ x, y \in X, \ \forall \blacksquare \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

(2) *T* is (m, ∞) -contractive if, and only if

$$\max_{\substack{j \le k \le j+m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \ge \max_{\substack{j \le k \le j+m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d(T^k x, T^k y), \ \forall \ x, y \in X, \ \forall \ j \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Remark 2.2. (i) every $(2,\infty)$ -expansive mapping is a $(1,\infty)$ -expansive mapping.

(ii) Every (m, ∞) -expansive mapping is injective.

(iii) An (m,∞) -expansive map is mot in general an $(m+1,\infty)$ -expansive, as we shown in the following example.

Example 2.3. Consider the usual metric d(x,y) = |x-y| on $X = \mathbb{R}$, and let $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ the map defined by Tx = 3x + 6. A simple calculation shows that $d(Tx, Ty) \ge d(x, y)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) \le \max \{d(T^2x, T^2y), d(x, y)\}$. So that, T is $(1, \infty)$ -expansive which is not $(2, \infty)$ -expansive.

Remark 2.3. (i) Every $(2,\infty)$ -isometric mapping is an completely ∞ -hyperexpansive.

(ii) Every $(m+1,\infty)$ -hyperexpansive mapping is an (m,∞) -hyperexpansive mapping.

(ii) Every $(m + 1, \infty)$ -hypercontractive mapping is an (m, ∞) -hypercontractive mapping

Proposition 2.2. Let T be an mapping acting on a metric space X. Then T is an $(2,\infty)$ -expansive mapping if and only if T is an $(2,\infty)$ -isometric mapping.

Proof. Assume that *T* is an $(2, \infty)$ -expansive mapping. Then if follows that for all $x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \ge \max\left\{d(T^2x, T^2y), d(x, y)\right\}$$

It holds

$$d(Tx,Ty) \ge d(T^2x,T^2y)$$
 and $d(Tx,Ty) \ge d(x,y)$, for all $x,y \in X$

This immediately yields

$$d(Tx,Ty) = d(T^2x,T^2y) \ge d(x,y) \quad \forall \ x,y \in X.$$

Hence we conclude that T is an $(2,\infty)$ -isometric mapping by [3, Proposition 2.4]. The converse is obvious.

Corollary 2.1. *Every* $(2, \infty)$ *-expansive mapping is an completely* ∞ *-hyperexpansive.*

Proof. Let *T* be an $(2,\infty)$ -expansive mapping. Then, we have *T* is a $(1,\infty)$ -expansive and a $(2,\infty)$ -isometry. Consequently, *T* is an (k,∞) -expansive mapping for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 2.2. A power of an $(2,\infty)$ -expansive mapping is again an $(2,\infty)$ -expansive mapping.

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.2 and [3, Proposition 2.5]. \Box

Proposition 2.3. Let $T : X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T^2 is an $(1,\infty)$ -isometry. Then the following statement hold

(i) *T* is an (*m*,∞)-expansive mapping if and only if *T* is an (1.∞)-expansive mapping.
(ii) *T* is an (*m*,∞)-contractive mapping if and only if *T* is an (1,∞)-contractive mapping.

Proof. (i) Assume that *T* is an (m,∞) -expansive. By the assumption that T^2 is an $(1,\infty)$ -isometry, if follows that $d(T^2x,T^2y) = d(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. This implies that $d(T^{2k}x,T^{2k}y) = d(x,y)$ and $d(T^{2k+1}x,T^{2k+1}y) = d(Tx,Ty) \quad \forall x, y \in X, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Since *T* is an (m, ∞) -expansive, we have that for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \le \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \Longrightarrow d(x, y) \le d(T x, T y).$$

Consequently T is an $(1,\infty)$ -expansive mapping.

Conversely, assume that *T* is an $(1,\infty)$ -expansive mapping. Then $d(Tx,Ty) \ge d(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and therefore $d(x,y) = d(T^2x,T^2y) \ge d(Tx,Ty)$. We deduce that d(Tx,Ty) = d(x,y) for all $x, y \in X$. Consequently, *T* is an $(1,\infty)$ -isometry and so that *T* is an (m,∞) isometry (see Theorem 2.1 in [3]). Hence, *T* is an (m,∞) -expansive mapping.

(ii) This statement is proved in the same way as in the statement (i).

Proposition 2.4. Let $T: X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $T^2 = T$. Then the following statement hold

(i) *T* is an (*m*,∞)-expansive mapping if and only if *T* is an (1,∞)-expansive mapping.
(ii) *T* is an (*m*,∞)-contractive mapping if and only if *T* is an (1,∞)-contractive mapping.

Proof. From that assumption that $T^2 = T$ it follows immediately that for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \le \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \Leftrightarrow d(x, y) \le d(T x, T y)$$

and

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \ge \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \Leftrightarrow d(x, y) \ge d(Tx, Ty).$$

Hence, the statements (i) and (ii) hold.

In the following theorem, we generalize [20, Proposition 2.8] as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let T be an mapping on a metric space X such that T is bijective. The following statements hold.*

(i) If T is an (m,∞) -expansive, then T^{-1} is an (m,∞) -expansive for m even and an

 (m,∞) -contractive for m odd.

(ii) If T is an (m,∞) -contractive, then T^{-1} is an (m,∞) -contractive for m even and an (m,∞) -expansive for m odd.

Proof. (i) Assume that T is a bijective an (m, ∞) -expansive mapping. It follows that for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d\left(T^k x, T^k y\right) \le \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d\left(T^k x, T^k y\right).$$
(2.1)

Replacing x by $T^{-m}x$ and y by $T^{-m}y$ in (2.1), we get for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} \frac{d((T^{-1})^{m-k}x, (T^{-1})^{m-k}y)}{0 \le k \le m} \le \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} \frac{d((T^{-1})^{m-k}x, (T^{-1})^{m-k}y)}{0}.$$

We obtain the following conclusions:

If *m* is even then, by equation (2.1) we have for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le j \le m \\ j \text{ even}}} d\left((T^{-1})^j x, (T^{-1})^j y \right) \le \max_{\substack{0 \le j \le m \\ j \text{ odd}}} d\left((T^{-1})^j x, (T^{-1})^j y \right)$$

and so that T^{-1} is an (m, ∞) -expansive mapping.

If *m* is odd we have for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le j \le m \\ j \text{ even}}} d\left((T^{-1})^{j} x, (T^{-1})^{j} y \right) \ge \max_{\substack{0 \le j \le m \\ j \text{ odd}}} d\left((T^{-1})^{j} x, (T^{-1})^{j} y \right)$$

and so that T^{-1} is an (m, ∞) -contractive mapping.

(ii) This statement is proved in the same way as in the statement (i).

Corollary 2.3. Let $T: X \to X$ be an bijective mapping. The following statements hold.

(i) If T is an $(2,\infty)$ -expansive mapping, then T is an $(1,\infty)$ -isometry.

(ii) If *T* is an $(2,\infty)$ -contractive mapping, then *T* is an $(1,\infty)$ -isometry.

Proof. Assume the *T* is an $(2, \infty)$ -expansive mapping. Then it follows that $d(Tx, Ty) \ge d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. On the other hand, by the fact that T^{-1} is bijective $(2, \infty)$ -expansive, we have by Theorem 2.1 that T^{-1} is a $(2, \infty)$ -expansive and hence $d(T^{-1}x, T^{-1}y) \ge d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. This means that $d(x, y) \ge d(Tx, Ty)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Consequently, d(Tx, Ty) = d(x, y) for all $x, y \in X$, which shows that *T* is an $(1, \infty)$ -isometry as required.

(ii) This statement is proved in the same way as in the statement (i).

Theorem 2.2. For i = 1, 2, ..., n, let (X_i, d_i) be a metric space and let $T_i : X_i \to X_i$ be a map, $m_i \ge 1$. Denote by $X = X_i \times X_2 \times ... \times X_n$ the product space endowed with the product distance $d((x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) := \max_{1 \le i \le n} (d_i(x_i, y_i))$. Let $T := T_1 \times T_2 \times ... \times T_n : X \to X$ be a mapping defined by

$$T(x_1,\cdots,x_n) := (T_1x_1,T_2x_2,\cdots,T_nx_n).$$

The following statements hold.

- (i) If each T_i is an (m_i, ∞) -hyperexpansive for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then T is an (m, ∞) -expansive, where $m = \min(m_1, \dots, m_n)$.
- (ii) If each T_i is an (m_i, ∞) -hypercontractive for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then T is an (m, p)contractive, where $m = \min(m_1, \dots, m_n)$.
- (iii) If each T_i is an completely ∞ -hyperexpansive for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then so that T.
- (iv) If each T_i is completely ∞ -hypercontractive for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then so that T.

Proof. (i) Let $m = \min(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ and consider for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d\left((T^k x, (T^k y)) = \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} \left(\max_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ 1 \le i \le n}} \left\{ d_i \left((T^k_i x_i, (T^k_i y_i)) \right\} \right) \right)$$
$$= \max_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ k \text{ even}}} \left(\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} \left\{ d_i \left((T^k_i x_i, (T^k_i y_i)) \right\} \right) \right)$$

Since T_i is an (m_i, ∞) -hyperexpansive for i = 1, 2, ..., n, it follows that T_i is an (m, ∞) -expansive for i = 1, 2, ..., n and hence

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \le \max_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left(\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left\{ d_i(T^k_i x_i, T^k_i y_i) \right\} \right)$$
$$= \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left(\max_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left\{ d_i(T^k_i x_i, T^k_i y_i) \right\} \right).$$

Thus, we have

$$\max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ even}}} d(T^k x, T^k y) \le \max_{\substack{0 \le k \le m \\ k \text{ odd}}} d(T^k x, T^k y).$$

Consequently, *T* is an (m, ∞) -expansive mapping.

- (ii) This statement follows from the statement in (i) by reversing the inequality above.
- (iii) Suppose that each T_i is an completely ∞ -hyperexpansive for each i = 1, 2, ..., n,

and hence each T_i is an (k,∞) -expansive for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As a consequence of this observation, one can deduce the following inequality for all $x, y \in X$

$$\max_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq k \\ j \text{ even}}} d(T^{j}x, T^{j}y) = \max_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \left(\max_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 \leq j \leq k \\ j \text{ even}}} d_i(T^{j}_ix_i, T^{j}_iy_j) \right)$$

$$= \max_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 \leq j \leq k \\ j \text{ odd}}} d_i(T^{j}_ix_i, T^{j}_iy_j) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From which the statement in (iii) follows.

(iv) This statement is proved in the same way as in the statement (iii).

Author Contributions: The authors contributed equally in this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Agler, J. (1985). Hypercontractions and subnormality. Journal of Operator Theory, 203-217.
- 2. Alger, J., & Stankus, M. (1995). m-isometric transformations of Hilbert space, I. Mathematics, 23.
- 3. Al Ahmadi, A. M. A. (2019). On the study of (m;¥)-isometric mappings on real metric spaces. Jouf University Science and Engineering Journal, 2(6), 1.
- 4. Athavale, A. (1996). On completely hyperexpansive operators. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 124(12), 3745-3752.
- 5. Bayart, F. (2011). m-isometries on Banach spaces. Mathematische Nachrichten, 284(17-18), 2141-2147.
- 6. Bermudez, T., Martinon, A., & Mueller, V. (2014). (m, q)-isometries on metric spaces. Journal of Operator Theory, 313-328.
- 7. Botelho, F. (2010). On the existence of n-isometries on lp spaces. Acta Sci. Math.(Szeged), 76(1-2), 183-192.
- 8. Duggal, B. P. (2012). Tensor product of n-isometries III. Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput, 4, 61-67.
- 9. Exner, G., Jung, I. B., & Li, C. (2006). On k-hyperexpansive operators. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 323(1), 569-582.
- 10. Gu, C. (2015). On (m, p)-expansive and (m, p)-contractive operators on Hilbert and Banach spaces. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 426(2), 893-916.
- 11. Gu, C. (2015). The (m, q)-isometric weighted shifts on lp spaces. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 82(2), 157-187.
- 12. Hoffman, P., & Mackey, M. (2011). M.' O Searc' oid, On the second parameter of an (m; p)-isometry, Integral Equat. Oper. Th, 71, 389-405.
- 13. Jung, S., Kim, Y., Ko, E., & Lee, J. E. (2012). On (A, m)-expansive operators. Studia Math, 213(1), 3-23.
- 14. Olofsson, A. (2007). An operator-valued Berezin transform and the class of n-hypercontractions. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 58(4), 503-549.
- 15. Qi, F., & Guo, B. N. (2000). Monotonicity of sequences involving convex function and sequence. RGMIA research report collection, 3(2).
- 16. Ahmed, O. S. (2010). m-isometric operators on Banach spaces, Asian-European J. Math, 3(1), 1-19.
- 17. Ahmed, O. S. (2014). On A (m, p)-expansive and A (m, p)-hyperexpansive operators on Banach spaces-I, Al Jouf Sci. Eng. J, 1, 23-43.
- 18. Ahmed, O. A. M. S. (2015). On A (m; p)-expansive and A (m; p)-hyperexpansive operators on Banach spaces-II. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 5(2), 123-148.
- 19. Ahmed, O., & Sid Ahmed, M. (2016). -hyperexpansive mappings on metric spaces. Note di Matematica, 35(2), 17-37.

- 20. Ahmed, O. S. (2016). On (m, p)-(Hyper) expansive and (m, p)-(Hyper) contractive mappings on a metric space. Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions, 7(3), 73-87.
- 21. Ahmed, O. A. M. S., & Saddi, A. (2012). Am-Isometric operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces. Linear Algebra and its applications, 436(10), 3930-3942.
- 22. Ahmeda, A. M., & Mahmoud, S. A. O. A. (2019). Classes of Mappings in Metric Spaces. WAS Science Nature (WASSN) ISSN: 2766-7715, 2(1).
- 23. Sholapurkar, V. M., & Athavale, A. (2000). Completely and alternatingly hyperexpansive operators. Journal of Operator Theory, 43-68.